

# COHERENT FUNCTORS IN STABLE HOMOTOPY THEORY

HENNING KRAUSE

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a compactly generated triangulated category, for example the stable homotopy category of CW-spectra. We call a functor  $F: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  into the category of abelian groups *coherent* if there exists an exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}(D, -) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(C, -) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0$$

such that  $C$  and  $D$  are compact objects in  $\mathcal{S}$  (an object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  is *compact* if the representable functor  $\text{Hom}(X, -)$  preserves arbitrary coproducts).

The concept of a coherent functor has been introduced explicitly for abelian categories by Auslander [1], but it is also implicit in the work of Freyd on stable homotopy [9]. In this paper we characterize coherent functors in a number of ways and use them to study a wider class of functors  $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  which share a weak exactness property. Another purpose of this paper is to investigate certain subcategories of  $\mathcal{S}$  which are defined in terms of coherent functors.

In the category  $\text{Mod } \Lambda$  of modules over an associative ring  $\Lambda$ , the analogue of a compact object is a finitely presented module. This fact can be made precise (cf. the Appendix), and one has in this context the following classical result: a functor  $F: \text{Mod } \Lambda \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  is coherent precisely if  $F$  preserves products and filtered colimits. There is no obvious way to formulate such a characterization for compactly generated triangulated categories because filtered colimits rarely exist in triangulated categories. Nevertheless, we are able to characterize the coherent functors as follows.

**Theorem A.** *For a functor  $F: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$F$  is coherent.*
- (2)  *$F$  preserves products and sends every homology colimit to a colimit.*
- (3)  *$F$  preserves products and coproducts, and  $F$  is short exact.*

*In the presence of Brown representability (for homology theories), there is a further equivalent condition:*

- (4)  *$F$  preserves products and minimal weak filtered colimits of compact objects.*

We call a functor  $F: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  *short exact* if for every triangle  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma X$  the sequence  $0 \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow F(Y) \rightarrow F(Z) \rightarrow 0$  is exact, provided that  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, Z) \rightarrow 0$  is exact for each compact  $C$ . This seems to be an interesting exactness property. To explain this, let us introduce the following notation. The full subcategory of compact objects in  $\mathcal{S}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  denotes the category of additive functors  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  into the category of abelian groups. For every object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  consider the functor

$$H_X = \text{Hom}(-, X)|_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{F}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Ab}.$$

This is an example of an exact functor. Recall that a functor from a triangulated category to the category of abelian groups is *exact* if it sends triangles to exact sequences. We call a triangle

$$(*) \quad X \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow \Sigma X$$

in  $\mathcal{S}$  *pure* if the induced sequence  $0 \rightarrow H_X \rightarrow H_Y \rightarrow H_Z \rightarrow 0$  is exact, and a functor  $F: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  is by definition short exact if for every pure triangle (\*) the sequence  $0 \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow F(Y) \rightarrow F(Z) \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

For the stable homotopy category, exact and coproduct preserving functors have been characterized by Brown and Adams [1]; they are precisely the functors  $F: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  that are ‘represented’ by an object  $Y$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  in the sense that

$$F(X) \cong \text{Hom}(S, X \wedge Y)$$

for all  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  (where  $S$  denotes the sphere spectrum). One can also use the tensor-product

$$(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab}) \times (\mathcal{F}, \text{Ab}) \longrightarrow \text{Ab}, \quad (H, G) \rightarrow H \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} G$$

to study functors  $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ . Recall that the tensor functor  $- \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} G$  is determined by the fact that it preserves colimits and  $H_X \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} G \cong G(X)$  for all  $X$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . It turns out that  $F: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  is exact and preserves coproducts if and only if there is a functorial isomorphism

$$F(X) \cong H_X \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} G$$

for some exact functor  $G: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ . The following result characterizes the functors which are ‘represented’ by an arbitrary functor  $G: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ .

**Theorem B.** *For a functor  $F: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$F$  is short exact and preserves coproducts.*
- (2) *There exist an additive functor  $G: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  and a functorial isomorphism  $F(X) \cong H_X \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} G$  for all  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ .*
- (3) *There exist a filtered diagram  $(F_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  of coherent functors and a functorial isomorphism  $F(X) \cong \text{colim}_i F_i(X)$  for all  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ .*

Let us consider the collection of all coherent functors  $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  which we denote by  $\text{Coh } \mathcal{S}$ . In fact,  $\text{Coh } \mathcal{S}$  is an abelian category if we take as maps the natural transformations. This category has been studied by Freyd in [9]. Here, we exhibit an interesting closure operation which is defined in terms of coherent functors. Given a class  $\mathcal{C}$  of objects in  $\mathcal{S}$ , we define

$$\text{Def } \mathcal{C} = \{X \in \mathcal{S} \mid F \in \text{Coh } \mathcal{S} \text{ and } F(Y) = 0 \text{ for all } Y \in \mathcal{C} \text{ implies } F(X) = 0\}.$$

For example, Freyd’s Generating Hypothesis [9] for the stable homotopy category could be reformulated as follows.

**Generating Hypothesis (Freyd).**  $\text{Def}\{S^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \mathcal{S}$ .

There is an explicit construction which produces all objects in  $\text{Def } \mathcal{C}$ , at least if we assume Brown representability. We call an object  $X$  the *reduced product* of a family of objects  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  with respect to a filter  $\mathcal{U}$  on the set  $I$ , if

$$H_X \cong \text{colim}_{J \in \mathcal{U}} \prod_{i \in J} H_{X_i}$$

where the filtered colimit is taken over the canonical projections  $\prod_{i \in J_1} H_{X_i} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in J_2} H_{X_i}$  which are induced by the inclusions  $J_2 \subseteq J_1$  of subsets  $J_1, J_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ . Note that a reduced product always exists; it is unique up to isomorphism and denoted by  $\prod_{i \in I} X_i / \mathcal{U}$ .

**Theorem C.** *Suppose that Brown representability holds for  $\mathcal{S}$ , and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of objects in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then an object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  belongs to  $\text{Def } \mathcal{C}$  if and only if there is a pure triangle  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma X$  such that  $Y$  is a reduced product of objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

We say that a full subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  is *definable* if  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Def } \mathcal{C}$ , equivalently if  $\mathcal{C} = \{X \in \mathcal{S} \mid F_i(X) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\}$  for some family  $(F_i)_{i \in I}$  of coherent functors. This concept has its origin in model theory of modules; in this context a definable subcategory corresponds to a complete theory of modules [23, 8]. There are three other concepts equivalent to definable subcategories:

- *Ziegler-closed subsets* of the set  $\text{Sp } \mathcal{S}$  of isomorphism classes of indecomposable pure-injective objects in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Recall that  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  is *pure-injective* if for every pure triangle  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma X$  the first map is a section. A subset of  $\text{Sp } \mathcal{S}$  is Ziegler-closed if it is of the form  $\mathcal{C} \cap \text{Sp } \mathcal{S}$  for some definable subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- *Serre subcategories* of  $\text{Coh } \mathcal{S}$ . These are full subcategories of  $\text{Coh } \mathcal{S}$  which are closed under forming subobjects, quotient objects, and extensions.
- *Cohomological ideals* in  $\mathcal{F}$ . These are ideals of maps in  $\mathcal{F}$  which are of the form  $\{\phi \in \mathcal{F} \mid F(\phi) = 0\}$  for some exact functor  $F: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ . For example, given  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ , the *annihilator*

$$\text{Ann } X = \{\phi \in \mathcal{F} \mid \text{Hom}(\phi, X) = 0\}$$

is cohomological.

**Fundamental Correspondence.** *There are bijections between*

- the set of definable subcategories  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ ,
- the set of Ziegler-closed subsets  $\mathbf{U}$  of  $\text{Sp } \mathcal{S}$ ,
- the set of Serre subcategories  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\text{Coh } \mathcal{S}$ ,
- the set of cohomological ideals  $\mathfrak{J}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

*These bijections are defined as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} &\mapsto \begin{cases} \mathbf{U} = \mathcal{C} \cap \text{Sp } \mathcal{S} \\ \mathcal{T} = \{F \in \text{Coh } \mathcal{S} \mid F(X) = 0 \text{ for all } X \in \mathcal{C}\} \\ \mathfrak{J} = \bigcap_{X \in \mathcal{C}} \text{Ann } X \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{U} &\mapsto \begin{cases} \mathcal{C} = \{X \in \mathcal{S} \mid \text{there are } Y_i \in \mathbf{U} \text{ and a pure triangle } X \rightarrow \prod_i Y_i \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma X\} \\ \mathcal{T} = \{F \in \text{Coh } \mathcal{S} \mid F(X) = 0 \text{ for all } X \in \mathbf{U}\} \\ \mathfrak{J} = \bigcap_{X \in \mathbf{U}} \text{Ann } X \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{T} &\mapsto \begin{cases} \mathcal{C} = \{X \in \mathcal{S} \mid F(X) = 0 \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{T}\} \\ \mathbf{U} = \{X \in \text{Sp } \mathcal{S} \mid F(X) = 0 \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{T}\} \\ \mathfrak{J} = \{\phi \in \mathcal{F} \mid \text{Im Hom}(\phi, -) \in \mathcal{T}\} \end{cases} \\ \mathfrak{J} &\mapsto \begin{cases} \mathcal{C} = \{X \in \mathcal{S} \mid \mathfrak{J} \subseteq \text{Ann } X\} \\ \mathbf{U} = \{X \in \text{Sp } \mathcal{S} \mid \mathfrak{J} \subseteq \text{Ann } X\} \\ \mathcal{T} = \{F \in \text{Coh } \mathcal{S} \mid F = \text{Im Hom}(\phi, -) \text{ for some } \phi \in \mathfrak{J}\} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This correspondence is the analogue of a correspondence for module categories which is based on work of several mathematicians [23, 12, 8, 15]. For instance, Ziegler introduced the closed subsets of indecomposable pure-injective modules in model-theoretic terms [23]. In our setting, one obtains a topology on  $\text{Sp } \mathcal{S}$  by taking the Ziegler-closed subsets as closed subsets [15]. Examples of definable subcategories arise quite naturally. Take for instance a localization functor  $L: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  which is smashing, i.e.  $L$  preserves coproducts. Then the  $L$ -local objects form a definable subcategory [17]. Or take an endofinite object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  (in the sense of [18]). Then the direct factors of coproducts of copies of  $X$  form a definable subcategory.

## 1. THE FUNCTOR CATEGORY

**Purity and phantoms.** We fix a triangulated category  $\mathcal{S}$  and make the following additional assumptions:

- $\mathcal{S}$  has arbitrary coproducts;
- the isomorphism classes of compact objects in  $\mathcal{S}$  form a set;
- $\mathrm{Hom}(C, X) = 0$  for all compact  $C$  implies  $X = 0$  for every object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

A triangulated category satisfying these conditions is called *compactly generated*. The full subcategory of compact objects in  $\mathcal{S}$  is always denoted by  $\mathcal{F}$ . Recall that  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  is *compact* if the representable functor  $\mathrm{Hom}(X, -)$  preserves arbitrary coproducts. Our basic tool is the category of additive functors  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$  which we denote by  $(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab})$ . The *restricted Yoneda functor*

$$\mathcal{S} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab}), \quad X \mapsto H_X = \mathrm{Hom}(-, X)|_{\mathcal{F}},$$

relates the triangulated structure of  $\mathcal{S}$  to the abelian structure of  $(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab})$ . The functor identifies the full subcategory of pure-projective objects in  $\mathcal{S}$  with the full subcategory of projective objects in  $(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab})$ , and it identifies the full subcategory of pure-injective objects in  $\mathcal{S}$  with the full subcategory of injective objects in  $(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab})$ . We recall briefly the relevant definitions and refer to [17] for more details.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a compactly generated triangulated category.

- (1) A map  $X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  is a *pure monomorphism* if the map  $H_X \rightarrow H_Y$  is a monomorphism. An object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  is *pure-injective* if every pure monomorphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a split monomorphism.
- (2) A map  $Y \rightarrow Z$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  is a *pure epimorphism* if the map  $H_Y \rightarrow H_Z$  is an epimorphism. An object  $Z$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  is *pure-projective* if every pure epimorphism  $Y \rightarrow Z$  is a split epimorphism.
- (3) A triangle  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma X$  is *pure* if the sequence  $0 \rightarrow H_X \rightarrow H_Y \rightarrow H_Z \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

Note that purity is closely related to properties of phantom maps; see for example [4] and [6]. Recall that a map  $X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  is a *phantom map* if the induced map  $H_X \rightarrow H_Y$  is zero. For instance, an object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  is pure-injective if and only if there are no non-zero phantom maps ending in  $X$ . Dually,  $X$  is pure-projective if and only if there are no non-zero phantom maps starting in  $X$ . Finally, a triangle  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma X$  is pure if and only if the map  $Z \rightarrow \Sigma X$  is phantom.

One can prove easily that an object in  $\mathcal{S}$  is pure-projective if and only if it is a direct factor of a coproduct of compact objects. The following lemma describes some essential properties of pure-projective objects. This is well-known, see for example [4], but we include the proof for the convenience of the reader.

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a compactly generated triangulated category, and let  $P$  be a projective object in  $(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab})$ . Then there exists, up to isomorphism, a unique object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  such that  $P \cong H_X$ . Moreover, the map*

$$\mathrm{Hom}(X, Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(H_X, H_Y), \quad \alpha \mapsto H_\alpha,$$

*is an isomorphism for all  $Y$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ .*

*Proof.* Every projective  $P$  is a direct factor of some coproduct  $\coprod_{i \in I} H_{C_i}$  of representable functors with  $C_i \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $i \in I$ . Assume first that  $P = \coprod_{i \in I} H_{C_i}$ . Then one takes  $X = \coprod_{i \in I} C_i$  and the isomorphism  $\mathrm{Hom}(X, Y) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(H_X, H_Y)$  is an immediate

consequence of Yoneda's lemma. The general case reduces to the first. In fact, if  $P$  is a proper direct factor of  $\coprod_{i \in I} H_{C_i}$ , then we get a corresponding idempotent in  $\text{End}(\coprod_{i \in I} C_i)$  which gives an object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  satisfying  $P \cong H_X$  since idempotents in  $\mathcal{S}$  split.  $\square$

The next lemma describes some properties of pure-injective objects. The proof is essentially an application of Brown's representability theorem.

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a compactly generated triangulated category, and let  $I$  be an injective object in  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$ . Then there exists, up to isomorphism, a unique object  $Y$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  such that  $I \cong H_Y$ . Moreover, the map*

$$\text{Hom}(X, Y) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(H_X, H_Y), \quad \alpha \mapsto H_\alpha,$$

*is an isomorphism for all  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ .*

*Proof.* See Lemma 1.7 in [17].  $\square$

**Injective envelopes.** We shall also need to use the fact that  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  is a *Grothendieck category*, which as far as we are concerned means that it has injective envelopes [11]. The definition of an injective envelope can be reformulated as follows.

**Lemma 1.4.** *A monomorphism  $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$  is an injective envelope of  $X$  if and only if  $Y$  is an injective object and every endomorphism  $\beta: Y \rightarrow Y$  satisfying  $\beta \circ \alpha = \alpha$  is an isomorphism.*

**Brown representability.** Sometimes we shall use an additional assumption on the category  $\mathcal{S}$ . To this end recall that a functor from a triangulated category to the category of abelian groups is *exact* if it sends triangles to exact sequences. For example, every functor of the form  $H_X$  is exact. In some cases also the converse is true. More precisely, one says that *Brown representability* holds for  $\mathcal{S}$ , if

- every exact functor  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  is isomorphic to  $H_X$  for some object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ , and
- every natural transformation  $H_X \rightarrow H_Y$  is of the form  $H_\alpha$  for some map  $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$ .

A classical theorem due to Brown and Adams states that Brown representability holds for the stable homotopy category [1]. More recently, Beligiannis, Christensen, Keller, and Neeman studied the problem when Brown representability holds [4, 5].

**Flat functors.** Recall that there exists a *tensor product*

$$(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab}) \times (\mathcal{F}, \text{Ab}) \longrightarrow \text{Ab}, \quad (F, G) \rightarrow F \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} G$$

where for any functor  $F: \mathcal{F}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ , the tensor functor  $F \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} -$  is determined by the fact that it preserves colimits and  $F \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} \text{Hom}(X, -) \cong F(X)$  for all  $X$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ ; see for example [20]. A functor  $F: \mathcal{F}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  is *flat* if the tensor functor  $F \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} -$  is exact. The following well-known characterization will be needed.

**Lemma 1.5.** *For a functor  $F: \mathcal{F}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$F$  is flat.*
- (2)  *$F$  is an exact functor.*
- (3)  *$F$  is a filtered colimit of representable functors.*

*Proof.* For the characterization of flatness via condition (2), see Lemma 2.7 in [17], for (3), see Theorem 3.2 in [21].  $\square$

*Remark 1.6.* The statement of Lemma 1.5 remains true if  $\mathcal{F}$  is replaced by any skeletally small triangulated category.

**Finitely presented functors.** Some of our constructions involve finitely presented functors. Let us recall that a functor  $F: \mathcal{F}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  is *finitely presented* if there exists an exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}(-, C) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(-, D) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0$$

with  $C$  and  $D$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . We wish to distinguish between finitely presented and coherent functors. Both are by definition cokernels of maps between representable functors. However, for coherent functors  $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  we restrict ourselves to representable functors which are represented by compact objects.

The concept of a finitely presented functor generalizes the concept of a finitely presented module, and we shall use a few basic facts about finitely presented functors which are well-known in the context of modules over a ring. For instance, every additive functor  $F: \mathcal{F}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  is a filtered colimit of finitely presented functors. The following characterization is another example.

**Lemma 1.7.** *For an additive functor  $F: \mathcal{F}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $F$  is finitely presented.
- (2) The representable functor  $\text{Hom}(F, -)$  preserves filtered colimits.
- (3) The tensor functor  $F \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} -$  preserves products.
- (4) The map  $F \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} (\prod_i \text{Hom}(C_i, -)) \rightarrow \prod_i (F \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} \text{Hom}(C_i, -))$  is an isomorphism for every family  $(C_i)_{i \in I}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

*Proof.* Adapt the proof for modules over a ring (cf. [22]). □

## 2. WEAK COLIMITS

A *diagram* in a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a functor  $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ ,  $i \mapsto X_i$ , from a small category  $\mathcal{I}$  to  $\mathcal{C}$ . We denote such a diagram by  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  and call a family of maps  $\mu_i: X_i \rightarrow X$  ( $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ) a *cone* if  $\mu_j \circ X_\lambda = \mu_i$  for every map  $\lambda: i \rightarrow j$  in  $\mathcal{I}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mu_i: X_i \rightarrow X$  ( $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ) be a cone of a diagram  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ .

- (1) The cone is a *weak colimit* of the diagram  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  if for every cone  $\nu_i: X_i \rightarrow Y$  ( $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ) there exists a map  $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\alpha \circ \mu_i = \nu_i$  for each  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ .
- (2) The cone is *minimal* if every endomorphism  $\alpha: X \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $\alpha \circ \mu_i = \mu_i$  for each  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  is an isomorphism.

If we require the factorization  $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$  in the definition of a weak colimit to be unique, this is the definition of a *colimit* which we denote by  $\text{colim}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} X_i$ . Note that every colimit is a minimal weak colimit. A minimal weak colimit of a diagram  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  is unique up to a (non-unique) isomorphism. Our terminology is borrowed from Auslander [3]. He calls a map  $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$  *left minimal* if every endomorphism  $\beta: Y \rightarrow Y$  satisfying  $\beta \circ \alpha = \alpha$  is an isomorphism. Viewing a cone of a diagram  $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  as a map in the category of all functors  $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , it is clear that this map is left minimal if and only if the cone is minimal.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  be a diagram in a compactly generated triangulated category  $\mathcal{S}$ . A cone  $X_i \rightarrow X$  ( $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ) is called a *homology colimit* of the diagram  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  if the induced map

$$\text{colim}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \text{Hom}(C, X_i) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(C, X)$$

is an isomorphism for every compact object  $C$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Note that a homology colimit of a diagram  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  is minimal and therefore unique up to a (non-unique) isomorphism; it is denoted by  $\text{hcolim}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} X_i$ . Our terminology is justified by the following observation.

**Proposition 2.3.** *A cone  $X_i \rightarrow X$  ( $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ) is a homology colimit if and only if for every exact and coproduct preserving functor  $H: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  the induced map  $\text{colim}_i H(X_i) \rightarrow H(X)$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* One direction is clear. Therefore suppose that the cone  $X_i \rightarrow X$  ( $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ) is a homology colimit and fix an exact and coproduct preserving functor  $H: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ . The restriction  $H|_{\mathcal{F}}$  is exact and therefore a filtered colimit of representable functors by Lemma 1.5, that is

$$\text{colim}_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \text{Hom}(C_j, -)|_{\mathcal{F}} \cong H|_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

We obtain a filtered diagram of representable functors  $(\text{Hom}(C_j, -))_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$  and a compatible set of maps  $\text{Hom}(C_j, -) \rightarrow H$  ( $j \in \mathcal{J}$ ), using Yoneda's lemma. This induces a functorial isomorphism  $\text{colim}_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \text{Hom}(C_j, X) \cong H(X)$  for all  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  because both sides are exact, agree on  $\mathcal{F}$ , and preserve coproducts (cf. [17, Proposition 3.2]). We obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{colim}_i H(X_i) & \cong & \text{colim}_i \text{colim}_j \text{Hom}(C_j, X_i) & \cong & \text{colim}_j \text{colim}_i \text{Hom}(C_j, X_i) \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \gamma \\ H(X) & \cong & \text{colim}_j \text{Hom}(C_j, X) & = & \text{colim}_j \text{Hom}(C_j, X) \end{array}$$

The map  $\gamma$  is the colimit of isomorphisms by our assumption on the cone, and we conclude that  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism. This completes the proof.  $\square$

In [19], Margolis discusses weak colimits for the stable homotopy category, and there is also a more recent treatment in [13]. However, the definitions of a minimal weak colimit in [19] and [13] are more restrictive than the one given here. Note that our Proposition 2.3 generalizes Proposition 2.2.2 in [13].

Given a diagram  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  in a compactly generated triangulated category, a weak colimit always exists. In fact, a weak colimit can be computed by taking the cofiber of an appropriate map

$$\coprod_{\lambda: i \rightarrow j} X_i \longrightarrow \coprod_k X_k$$

where  $\lambda: i \rightarrow j$  runs through all maps and  $k$  runs through all objects in  $\mathcal{I}$ . The following result is essentially due to Margolis [19], and closely related to Theorem 4.2.3 in [13].

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a compactly generated triangulated category and suppose that Brown representability holds. Then every filtered diagram of pure-projective objects in  $\mathcal{S}$  has a homology colimit which is also a minimal weak colimit.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  be a filtered diagram of pure-projective objects. The functor  $\text{colim}_i H_{X_i}$  is exact and therefore isomorphic to  $H_X$  for some  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  since we assume Brown representability. Using Lemma 1.2 and the fact that each  $X_i$  is pure-projective, we get a family of maps  $X_i \rightarrow X$  ( $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ) which is a cone for  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ . Moreover, this cone is a homology colimit by construction. In order to show that the cone is a weak colimit, let  $X_i \rightarrow Y$  ( $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ) be another cone. Using Brown representability again, the induced map  $H_X \cong \text{colim}_i H_{X_i} \rightarrow H_Y$  is of the form  $H_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$ . The map  $\alpha$  is compatible with the structural maps  $X_i \rightarrow X$  and  $X_i \rightarrow Y$  by Lemma 1.2, and therefore  $X_i \rightarrow X$  ( $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ) is a weak colimit.  $\square$

Next we collect a few basic facts about the existence of minimal weak colimits for arbitrary diagrams.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  be a diagram in an additive category  $\mathcal{C}$  and suppose that idempotents in  $\mathcal{C}$  split. Let  $X_i \rightarrow X$  ( $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ) be a weak colimit and denote by  $M$  the image of the induced map  $\text{Hom}(X, X) \rightarrow \prod_i \text{Hom}(X_i, X)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The  $\text{End}(X)$ -module  $M$  has a projective cover.*
- (2) *The diagram  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  has a minimal weak colimit.*

*Moreover, in this case  $X_i \rightarrow X$  ( $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ) is minimal if and only if the canonical map  $\text{Hom}(X, X) \rightarrow M$  is a projective cover.*

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward if one observes that an epimorphism  $\pi: P \rightarrow M$  with  $P$  projective is a projective cover of  $M$  if and only if every endomorphism  $\varepsilon: P \rightarrow P$  satisfying  $\pi \circ \varepsilon = \pi$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

Let  $X$  be an object in an additive category and suppose that idempotents split. Then every finitely generated  $\text{End}(X)$ -module has a projective cover if and only if  $X$  decomposes into finitely many indecomposable objects with local endomorphism rings. Using this elementary fact, one can prove the following.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Every finite diagram of compact objects in the category of  $p$ -local spectra has a minimal weak colimit.*

*Proof.* Every compact  $p$ -local spectrum  $X$  decomposes into finitely many indecomposable objects with local endomorphism rings (cf. [10]). The assertion is therefore a consequence of Lemma 2.5 because every finitely generated  $\text{End}(X)$ -module has a projective cover.  $\square$

Another method to produce minimal weak colimits is to construct appropriate injective envelopes.

**Proposition 2.7.** *A diagram in a compactly generated triangulated category has a minimal weak colimit provided there exist a weak colimit which is pure-injective.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu_i: X_i \rightarrow X$  ( $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ) be a cone of some diagram and suppose that  $X$  is pure-injective. It follows from Lemma 1.3 and the characterization of injective envelopes in Lemma 1.4 that the cone is minimal if and only if for  $\mu: \prod_i X_i \rightarrow X$  the induced map  $\text{Im } H_\mu \rightarrow H_X$  is an injective envelope. Now suppose that the above cone is a weak colimit. Taking an injective envelope  $\text{Im } H_\mu \rightarrow H_Y$  produces a new cone  $\mu_i: X_i \rightarrow Y$  ( $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ) which is a minimal weak colimit.  $\square$

We end this section with a characterization of pure triangles. Let us call a triangle  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma X$  *split* if the identity map  $\text{id}_Z$  factors through  $Y \rightarrow Z$ , equivalently, if  $\text{id}_X$  factors through  $X \rightarrow Y$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** *The following are equivalent for a triangle  $\delta: X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma X$  in a compactly generated triangulated category:*

- (1)  *$\delta$  is pure.*
- (2)  *$\delta$  is a homology colimit of a filtered diagram of split triangles of compact objects.*
- (3)  *$\delta$  is a homology colimit of a filtered diagram of split triangles.*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Given an object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ , the functor  $H_X: \mathcal{F}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  is exact and therefore flat by Lemma 1.5. A well-known consequence of this is the fact that the category  $\mathcal{I}_X$  whose objects are the maps  $X_i \rightarrow X$  with  $X_i$  compact and whose maps are the obvious commuting triangles forms a small filtered category with  $\text{hcolim}_{i \in \mathcal{I}_X} X_i = X$

(cf. [21, Theorem 3.2]). Analogously, one shows that for any map  $\beta: Y \rightarrow Z$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  the category  $\mathcal{I}_\beta$  whose objects are the commuting squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_i & \xrightarrow{\beta_i} & Z_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Z \end{array}$$

with  $Y_i$  and  $Z_i$  compact and whose maps  $i \rightarrow j$  are the obvious commuting squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_i & \xrightarrow{\beta_i} & Z_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y_j & \xrightarrow{\beta_j} & Z_j \end{array}$$

form a small filtered category with  $\text{hcolim}_{i \in \mathcal{I}_\beta} \beta_i = \beta$ .

Now suppose that the triangle  $\delta: X \xrightarrow{\alpha} Y \xrightarrow{\beta} Z \xrightarrow{\gamma} \Sigma X$  is pure. It follows that the commuting squares  $i \in \mathcal{I}_\beta$  with  $\beta_i$  a split epimorphism form a cofinal subcategory of  $\mathcal{I}_\beta$  which we denote by  $\mathcal{I}$ . In fact, every commuting square  $i \in \mathcal{I}_\beta$  fits into a commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_i & \xrightarrow{\beta_i} & Z_i \\ \downarrow & & \parallel \\ Y_i \amalg Z_i & \xrightarrow{\beta'_i} & Z_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Z \end{array}$$

where the second component of  $\beta'_i$  is the identity since the map  $Z_i \rightarrow Z$  factors through  $\beta$ . We obtain a filtered diagram  $(\delta_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  of split triangles  $\delta_i: X_i \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} Y_i \xrightarrow{\beta_i} Z_i \xrightarrow{\gamma_i} \Sigma X_i$  and one checks easily that the commuting squares corresponding to the  $\alpha_i$  and  $\gamma_i$  with  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  form cofinal subcategories of  $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{I}_\gamma$ , respectively. We conclude that  $\text{hcolim}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \alpha_i = \alpha$ ,  $\text{hcolim}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \beta_i = \beta$ , and  $\text{hcolim}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \gamma_i = \gamma$ . Thus  $\delta = \text{hcolim}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \delta_i$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Clear.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Suppose that  $\delta = \text{hcolim}_i \delta_i$  and that each  $\delta_i$  is split. A split triangle  $\delta_i: X_i \rightarrow Y_i \rightarrow Z_i \rightarrow \Sigma X_i$  induces an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow H_{X_i} \rightarrow H_{Y_i} \rightarrow H_{Z_i} \rightarrow 0$ . Taking filtered homology colimits preserves exactness and we get therefore an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow H_X \rightarrow H_Y \rightarrow H_Z \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $\delta$  is pure.  $\square$

### 3. WEAK LIMITS

The concept of a (minimal) weak limit is the obvious analogue of a (minimal) weak colimit which one obtains by reversing all the arrows in Definition 2.1. In this section we investigate the existence of minimal weak limits. We need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $X \xrightarrow{\alpha} I \xrightarrow{\beta} J \xrightarrow{\gamma} \Sigma X$  be a triangle in  $\mathcal{S}$  and suppose that the induced map  $\text{Im } H_\beta \rightarrow H_J$  is an injective envelope. Then every endomorphism  $\varepsilon: X \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $\alpha \circ \varepsilon = \alpha$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Choose a map  $\phi: J \rightarrow J$  which completes the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & I & \xrightarrow{\beta} & J & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \Sigma X \\ \downarrow \varepsilon & & \parallel & & & & \downarrow \Sigma \varepsilon \\ X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & I & \xrightarrow{\beta} & J & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \Sigma X \end{array}$$

The assumption on  $\varepsilon$  implies that  $H_\phi$  keeps  $\text{Im } H_\beta$  fixed, and therefore  $H_\phi$  is an isomorphism by Lemma 1.4. Thus  $\phi$  is an isomorphism, and we conclude that  $\varepsilon$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

We are now in a position to prove an existence criterion for minimal weak limits.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a compactly generated triangulated category. Then every diagram of pure-injective objects in  $\mathcal{S}$  has a minimal weak limit.*

*Proof.* The proof uses the fact that the category  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  has injective envelopes. Let  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  be a diagram of pure-injective objects in  $\mathcal{S}$  and let  $F = \lim_i H_{X_i}$  be the corresponding limit in  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$ . There exists a minimal injective copresentation of  $F$  which is of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow H_I \xrightarrow{H_\beta} H_J$$

by Lemma 1.3. We complete  $\beta$  to a triangle  $X \xrightarrow{\alpha} I \xrightarrow{\beta} J \xrightarrow{\gamma} \Sigma X$ . The map  $H_\alpha$  induces a map  $H_X \rightarrow F$  which we compose with the structural maps  $F \rightarrow H_{X_i}$  to obtain a family of maps  $\mu_i: X \rightarrow X_i$ , using Lemma 1.3 and the fact that each  $X_i$  is pure-injective. We claim that  $\mu_i: X \rightarrow X_i$  ( $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ) is a minimal weak limit of the diagram  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ . Observe first that  $X_\lambda \circ \mu_i = \mu_j$  for every map  $\lambda: i \rightarrow j$  in  $\mathcal{I}$  since  $H_{X_\lambda} \circ H_{\mu_i} = H_{\mu_j}$ , again by Lemma 1.3. Now suppose there is another family  $\nu_i: Y \rightarrow X_i$  ( $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ) of maps satisfying  $X_\lambda \circ \nu_i = \nu_j$  for every map  $\lambda: i \rightarrow j$  in  $\mathcal{I}$ . The family  $H_Y \rightarrow H_{X_i}$  ( $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ) induces a map  $H_Y \rightarrow F$  which we compose with  $F \rightarrow H_I$  to get a map  $Y \rightarrow I$ . The composition of this map with  $\beta$  is zero and therefore  $Y \rightarrow I$  factors through  $\alpha$  via some map  $Y \rightarrow X$ . Using again the pure-injectivity of the  $X_i$ , it is easy to check that  $Y \rightarrow X$  is compatible with the structural maps  $\mu_i$  and  $\nu_i$ .

It remains to show that the family  $X \rightarrow X_i$  ( $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ) is minimal. Every endomorphism  $\varepsilon: X \rightarrow X$  which is compatible with the  $\mu_i$  induces a map  $H_\varepsilon$  which is compatible with the map  $H_X \rightarrow F$ . Therefore  $\alpha \circ \varepsilon = \alpha$ , and Lemma 3.1 implies that  $\varepsilon$  is an isomorphism. This shows that the weak limit is minimal and the proof is complete.  $\square$

#### 4. EXTENDING FUNCTORS

It is often useful to extend a functor  $F: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  to a functor  $\hat{F}: (\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab}) \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  such that  $\hat{F}(H_X) = F(X)$  for all  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ . We consider a number of conditions on  $F$  which translate into properties of the functor  $\hat{F}$ .

- (E)  $F$  is short exact, that is, for every pure triangle  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  the sequence  $0 \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow F(Y) \rightarrow F(Z) \rightarrow 0$  is exact.
- (II)  $F(\prod_i X_i) \cong \prod_i F(X_i)$  for every family  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  of pure-injective objects in  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- (Σ)  $\prod_i F(X_i) \cong F(\prod_i X_i)$  for every family  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  of pure-projective objects in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

It is sometimes convenient to work with the following variant of (II) and (Σ), respectively.

- (II')  $F(\prod_i X_i) \cong \prod_i F(X_i)$  for every family  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  of compact objects in  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- (Σ')  $\prod_i F(X_i) \cong F(\prod_i X_i)$  for every family  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  of compact objects in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Note that  $(\Sigma')$  and  $(\Sigma)$  are equivalent since every pure-projective object is a direct factor of a coproduct of compact objects. It turns out that condition (E) is sufficient to construct a functor  $\hat{F}$  which extends  $F$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a compactly generated triangulated category and let  $F: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  be a short exact functor. Then there exists, up to isomorphism, a unique functor  $\hat{F}: (\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab}) \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  which is left exact and extends  $F$ , that is,  $\hat{F}(H_X) = F(X)$  for all  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Moreover,*

- (1) if  $F$  satisfies  $(\Pi)$ , then  $\hat{F}$  preserves products, and
- (2) if  $F$  satisfies  $(\Sigma)$ , then  $\hat{F}$  preserves filtered colimits.

*Proof.* Choose for every object  $X$  in  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  an injective copresentation

$$0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow H_I \xrightarrow{H_\alpha} H_J.$$

This is possible by Lemma 1.3. Now one defines  $\hat{F}(X) = \text{Ker } F(\alpha)$  and checks easily that this can be extended to maps in  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  and that it is well-defined. Condition (E) implies that  $\hat{F}(H_X) = F(X)$  for all  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ . In fact, we can choose for  $X$  pure triangles

$$X \longrightarrow I_0 \longrightarrow X_1 \longrightarrow \Sigma X \quad \text{and} \quad X_1 \longrightarrow I_1 \longrightarrow X_2 \longrightarrow \Sigma X_1$$

with  $I_i$  pure-injective. This gives an injective copresentation  $0 \rightarrow H_X \rightarrow H_{I_0} \rightarrow H_{I_1}$  and  $F(X) = \hat{F}(H_X)$  follows since  $0 \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow F(I_0) \rightarrow F(I_1)$  is exact. Clearly,  $\hat{F}$  is left exact by construction. Moreover, any left exact functor  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab}) \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  is uniquely determined by its restriction to the full subcategory of injective objects.

Suppose now that  $(\Pi)$  holds. This condition says that the restriction of  $\hat{F}$  to the full subcategory of injectives in  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  preserves products. Let  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of arbitrary objects in  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  and choose injective copresentations  $0 \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow I_i \rightarrow J_i$ . We get the following commutative diagram with exact rows since  $\hat{F}$  is left exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \hat{F}(\prod_i X_i) & \longrightarrow & \hat{F}(\prod_i I_i) & \longrightarrow & \hat{F}(\prod_i J_i) \\ & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \gamma \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_i \hat{F}(X_i) & \longrightarrow & \prod_i \hat{F}(I_i) & \longrightarrow & \prod_i \hat{F}(J_i) \end{array}$$

The maps  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are isomorphisms and it follows that  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism. Thus  $\hat{F}$  preserve products.

Finally suppose that  $(\Sigma)$  holds. We construct a new functor  $\check{F}: (\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab}) \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  as follows. For a finitely presented functor  $X$  in  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  choose a presentation

$$\text{Hom}(-, A) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(-, \alpha)} \text{Hom}(-, B) \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0$$

and complete  $\alpha$  to a triangle  $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \xrightarrow{\gamma} \Sigma A$ . We get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(-, C) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(-, \gamma)} \text{Hom}(-, \Sigma A).$$

Now define  $\check{F}(X) = \text{Ker } F(\gamma)$ . Every object  $X$  in  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  can be written as a filtered colimit of finitely presented functors. More precisely, the category  $\mathcal{I}_X$  whose objects are the maps  $X_i \rightarrow X$  with  $X_i$  finitely presented and whose maps are the obvious commuting triangles forms a small filtered category with  $\text{colim}_{i \in \mathcal{I}_X} X_i = X$ . One defines  $\check{F}(X) = \text{colim}_i \check{F}(X_i)$  and checks easily that this definition can be extended to maps in  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$ . Clearly,  $\check{F}$  preserves filtered colimits. We claim that  $\check{F}$  is left exact. To see this, fix an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{\alpha} Y \xrightarrow{\beta} Z$  in  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  and write  $\beta = \text{colim}_i \beta_i$  as a

filtered colimit of maps  $\beta_i: Y_i \rightarrow Z_i$  between finitely presented objects. We get a filtered diagram of exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow X_i \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} Y_i \xrightarrow{\beta_i} Z_i$  and  $0 \rightarrow \check{F}(X_i) \xrightarrow{\check{F}(\alpha_i)} \check{F}(Y_i) \xrightarrow{\check{F}(\beta_i)} \check{F}(Z_i)$  is exact by construction. Taking filtered colimits preserves exactness and it follows that  $0 \rightarrow \check{F}(X) \xrightarrow{\check{F}(\alpha)} \check{F}(Y) \xrightarrow{\check{F}(\beta)} \check{F}(Z)$  is exact.

Next we use (E) and ( $\Sigma$ ) to show that  $\check{F}(H_X) = F(X)$  for every  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Condition ( $\Sigma$ ) implies that this holds if  $X$  is pure-projective. Otherwise choose for  $X = X_0$  pure triangles

$$X_2 \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow X_1 \longrightarrow \Sigma X_2 \quad \text{and} \quad X_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow X_0 \longrightarrow \Sigma X_1$$

with  $P_i$  pure-projective. Each sequence  $0 \rightarrow H_{X_{i+1}} \rightarrow H_{P_i} \rightarrow H_{X_i} \rightarrow 0$  is a filtered colimit of split exact sequences of the form  $0 \rightarrow H_A \rightarrow H_B \rightarrow H_C \rightarrow 0$  with  $A, B, C$  compact, by Lemma 2.8. Thus  $0 \rightarrow \check{F}(H_{X_{i+1}}) \rightarrow \check{F}(H_{P_i}) \rightarrow \check{F}(H_{X_i}) \rightarrow 0$  is exact and therefore  $\check{F}(H_{P_1}) \rightarrow \check{F}(H_{P_0}) \rightarrow \check{F}(H_X) \rightarrow 0$  is exact. On the other hand,  $F(P_1) \rightarrow F(P_0) \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow 0$  is exact by (E), and therefore  $\check{F}(H_X) = F(X)$ . It follows that  $\check{F}$  and  $\hat{F}$  are isomorphic, and therefore  $\hat{F}$  preserves filtered colimits.  $\square$

The preceding proposition has an analogue for right exact functors  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab}) \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  extending a functor  $F: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ . The construction uses projective presentations instead of injective copresentations.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a compactly generated triangulated category and let  $F: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  be a short exact functor. Then there exists, up to isomorphism, a unique functor  $\check{F}: (\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab}) \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  which is right exact and extends  $F$ , that is,  $\check{F}(H_X) = F(X)$  for all  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Moreover, if  $F$  satisfies ( $\Sigma$ ), then  $\check{F}$  preserves coproducts and is isomorphic to  $- \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} G$  where  $G = F|_{\mathcal{F}}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to that of Proposition 4.1 and we leave the details to the reader. The last assertion about  $- \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} G$  follows from the fact that  $\check{F}(H_C) = F(C) = H_C \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} G$  for each  $C \in \mathcal{F}$  and every  $X \in (\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  has a presentation

$$\coprod_j H_{D_j} \longrightarrow \coprod_i H_{C_i} \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0$$

with  $C_i, D_j \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $i, j$ .  $\square$

## 5. COHERENT FUNCTORS

We are now in a position to prove the first portion of our characterization of coherent functors.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a compactly generated triangulated category. For a functor  $F: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $F$  is coherent.
- (2)  $F$  preserves products and sends every homology colimit to a colimit.
- (3)  $F$  preserves products and coproducts, and  $F$  is short exact.
- (4)  $F$  satisfies (E), (II), and ( $\Sigma$ ).

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Each representable functor  $\text{Hom}(C, -)$  with  $C$  compact preserves products and sends every homology colimit to a colimit by the definition of a homology colimit. Clearly, this property is preserved if we pass to the cokernel of a map  $\text{Hom}(D, -) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, -)$ . Thus (2) holds for every coherent functor  $F$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Suppose that  $F$  preserves homology colimits. It follows that  $F$  preserves coproducts because every coproduct in  $\mathcal{S}$  is a homology colimit. Now suppose that

$\delta: X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma X$  is a pure triangle. It has been shown in Lemma 2.8 that  $\delta$  is a homology colimit of split triangles  $\delta_i: X_i \rightarrow Y_i \rightarrow Z_i \rightarrow \Sigma X_i$ . Clearly, each sequence  $0 \rightarrow F(X_i) \rightarrow F(Y_i) \rightarrow F(Z_i) \rightarrow 0$  is exact since  $F$  is additive, and therefore the colimit  $0 \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_i F(X_i) \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_i F(Y_i) \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_i F(Z_i) \rightarrow 0$  is exact. However, this sequence is isomorphic to  $0 \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow F(Y) \rightarrow F(Z) \rightarrow 0$  by our assumption on  $F$ . This proves (3).

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) Clear.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) We apply Proposition 4.1 to get a functor  $\hat{F}: (\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab}) \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  which is left exact and extends  $F$ . Moreover, (II) and (Σ) imply that  $\hat{F}$  preserves products and filtered colimits. It follows that  $\hat{F}$  preserves limits since every limit can be computed by taking kernels and products. Therefore the Adjoint Functor Theorem implies the existence of a left adjoint  $G: \text{Ab} \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  for  $\hat{F}$ . This gives for  $X$  in  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  a functorial isomorphism

$$\hat{F}(X) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \hat{F}(X)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(G(\mathbb{Z}), X).$$

The criterion of Lemma 1.7 implies that  $G(\mathbb{Z})$  is a finitely presented functor since  $\hat{F}$  preserves filtered colimits. Choose a presentation

$$\operatorname{Hom}(-, A) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}(-, \alpha)} \operatorname{Hom}(-, B) \longrightarrow G(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Applying  $\operatorname{Hom}(-, H_X)$  gives an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(G(\mathbb{Z}), H_X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Hom}(-, B), H_X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Hom}(-, A), H_X)$$

which is isomorphic to

$$0 \longrightarrow F(X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(B, X) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}(\alpha, X)} \operatorname{Hom}(A, X)$$

thanks to Yoneda's lemma and the isomorphism  $F(X) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(G(\mathbb{Z}), H_X)$ . This sequence is functorial in  $X$ , and if we complete  $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$  to a triangle  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow \Sigma A$ , we get the desired presentation

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\Sigma A, -) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(C, -) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0$$

which shows that  $F$  is coherent.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a compactly generated triangulated category. For a functor  $F: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $F$  is coherent.
- (2)  $F$  satisfies (E), (II'), and (Σ').

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is shown in Proposition 5.1. Therefore suppose that  $F$  satisfies (E), (II'), and (Σ'). Using conditions (E) and (Σ'), we can apply Proposition 4.2 and extend  $F$  to a functor  $\check{F}: (\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab}) \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  which is isomorphic to  $- \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} G$  for  $G = F|_{\mathcal{F}}$ . In particular,  $F(X) \cong H_X \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} G$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{S}$ . We claim that  $G$  is finitely presented. In fact, this follows from Lemma 1.7 and condition (II') since we have for every family  $(C_i)_{i \in I}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$

$$\left( \prod_i H_{C_i} \right) \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} G \cong H_{\prod_i C_i} \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} G \cong F\left( \prod_i C_i \right) \cong \prod_i F(C_i) \cong \prod_i (H_{C_i} \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} G).$$

Tensoring a presentation  $\operatorname{Hom}(D, -) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(C, -) \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$  with  $H_X$  for  $X \in \mathcal{S}$  gives an exact sequence

$$H_X \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} \operatorname{Hom}(D, -) \longrightarrow H_X \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} \operatorname{Hom}(C, -) \longrightarrow H_X \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} G \longrightarrow 0$$

which is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{Hom}(D, X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(C, X) \longrightarrow F(X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

This sequence is functorial in  $X$  and therefore  $F$  is coherent. This completes the proof.  $\square$

The next proposition completes our characterization of coherent functors.

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a compactly generated triangulated category and suppose that Brown representability holds. For a functor  $F: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $F$  is coherent.
- (2)  $F$  preserves products of families  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  and minimal weak colimits of filtered diagrams  $(X_j)_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$  provided that each  $X_i$  and each  $X_j$  is a direct factor of a coproduct of compact objects.
- (3)  $F$  preserves products of families  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  and minimal weak colimits of filtered diagrams  $(X_j)_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$  provided that each  $X_i$  and each  $X_j$  is a compact object.
- (4)  $F$  satisfies (E),  $(\Pi')$ , and  $(\Sigma')$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) A coherent functor preserves products and sends homology colimits to colimits by Proposition 5.1. Every minimal weak colimit of a filtered diagram of pure-projective objects in  $\mathcal{S}$  is also a homology colimit by Proposition 2.4. Therefore (1) implies (2).

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Clear.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) We check that  $F$  satisfies the conditions (E) and  $(\Sigma')$ .

(E) It has been shown in Lemma 2.8 that a pure triangle  $\delta: X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma X$  can be expressed as a homology colimit of a diagram  $(\delta_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  of split triangles  $\delta_i: X_i \rightarrow Y_i \rightarrow Z_i \rightarrow \Sigma X_i$  of compact objects. Note that  $\delta$  is also a minimal weak colimit of the diagram  $(\delta_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  by Proposition 2.4. Applying  $F$  gives a filtered diagram of exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow F(X_i) \rightarrow F(Y_i) \rightarrow F(Z_i) \rightarrow 0$ . The colimit of these exact sequences is again exact and isomorphic to the sequence  $0 \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow F(Y) \rightarrow F(Z) \rightarrow 0$ , by our assumptions on the functor  $F$ .

$(\Sigma')$  The coproduct of a family  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  is the filtered colimit of the finite coproducts  $\coprod_{i \in J} X_i$  where  $J$  runs through all finite subsets of  $I$ . Note that  $\coprod_{i \in J} X_i = \prod_{i \in J} X_i$  if  $J$  is finite. Thus (3) implies that  $F$  preserves coproducts of compact objects in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) See Proposition 5.2.  $\square$

## 6. SHORT EXACT FUNCTORS

In this section we study some properties of short exact functors. Recall that a functor  $F: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$  is *short exact* if for every triangle  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma X$  the sequence  $0 \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow F(Y) \rightarrow F(Z) \rightarrow 0$  is exact whenever  $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(C, X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(C, Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(C, Z) \rightarrow 0$  is exact for every compact  $C$ .

**Theorem 6.1.** *For a functor  $F: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $F$  is short exact and preserves coproducts.
- (2) There exists an additive functor  $G: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$  and a functorial isomorphism  $F(X) \cong H_X \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} G$  for all  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- (3) There exists a filtered diagram  $(F_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  of coherent functors and a functorial isomorphism  $F(X) \cong \mathrm{colim}_i F_i(X)$  for all  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $G = F|_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Condition (1) implies that  $F$  extends to a functor  $\tilde{F}: (\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab}) \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  which is isomorphic to  $-\otimes_{\mathcal{F}} G$  by Proposition 4.2. Therefore

$$F(X) \cong \tilde{F}(H_X) \cong H_X \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} G$$

for all  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Suppose that  $F(X) \cong H_X \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} G$  for some functor  $G: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ . Writing  $G = \text{colim}_i G_i$  as a filtered colimit of finitely presented functors, we get a filtered diagram of coherent functors  $F_i: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  if we define  $F_i(X) = H_X \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} G_i$  for each  $i$ . This gives an isomorphism

$$F(X) \cong H_X \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} (\text{colim}_i G_i) \cong \text{colim}_i (H_X \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} G_i) = \text{colim}_i F_i(X)$$

since  $H_X \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} -$  preserves colimits.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) A coherent functor is short exact and preserves coproducts by Proposition 5.1. Taking filtered colimits preserves exactness and coproducts, and therefore a filtered colimit of coherent functors is short exact and preserves coproducts.  $\square$

A short exact functor kills phantom maps, and we have the converse if the functor is exact.

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $F: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  be a functor.*

- (1) *If  $F$  is short exact, then  $F(\alpha) = 0$  for every phantom map  $\alpha$ .*
- (2) *Suppose  $F$  is exact. Then  $F$  is short exact if and only if  $F(\alpha) = 0$  for every phantom map  $\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Apply Proposition 4.1.  $\square$

It is not true in general that a functor which kills phantom maps is short exact. Take for instance an object  $Z$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  which is not pure-projective, and let  $F(X) = \text{Hom}(H_Z, H_X)$  for  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Clearly,  $F(\alpha) = 0$  for every phantom map  $\alpha$ . However, if  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma X$  is a pure triangle with  $Y$  pure-projective, the sequence  $0 \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow F(Y) \rightarrow F(Z) \rightarrow 0$  cannot be exact.

## 7. DEFINABLE SUBCATEGORIES

In this section we use coherent functors to study certain subcategories of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Definition 7.1.** We call a full subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  *definable* if it is of the form

$$\mathcal{C} = \{X \in \mathcal{S} \mid F_i(X) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\}$$

for some family  $(F_i)_{i \in I}$  of coherent functors.

There are three other concepts equivalent to definable subcategories:

- Ziegler-closed subsets of the set  $\text{Sp } \mathcal{S}$  of indecomposable pure-injectives in  $\mathcal{S}$ ,
- Serre subcategories of  $\text{Coh } \mathcal{S}$ , and
- cohomological ideals in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

We refer to the introduction for precise definitions and the statement of the ‘fundamental correspondence’ which relates these concept to each other. Here, we use the functor category  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  to prove this correspondence. We start with some preparations.

Let  $\text{fp}(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  be the full subcategory formed by the finitely presented functors in  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$ . Note that  $\text{fp}(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  is abelian since  $\mathcal{F}$  has weak kernels. Given a functor  $F: \mathcal{F}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ , we define a functor  $F^{\vee}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  by

$$F^{\vee}(X) = \text{Hom}(F, H_X).$$

**Lemma 7.2.** *The assignment  $F \mapsto F^\vee$  induces an equivalence*

$$(\mathrm{fp}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab}))^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coh} \mathcal{S}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $F \in \mathrm{fp}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab})$  and fix a presentation

$$\mathrm{Hom}(-, A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(-, B) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0.$$

Completing the map  $A \rightarrow B$  to a triangle  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow \Sigma A$ , it follows from Yoneda's lemma that we get a presentation

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\Sigma A, -) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(C, -) \longrightarrow F^\vee \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus  $F^\vee$  is coherent. It is now straightforward to write down an inverse for  $F \mapsto F^\vee$ .  $\square$

We denote by  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab})$  the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable injective objects in  $(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab})$ . A subset of  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab})$  is *closed* if it is of the form

$$\{X \in \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab}) \mid \mathrm{Hom}(F_i, X) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\}$$

for some family  $(F_i)_{i \in I}$  of finitely presented functors  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$ .

**Proposition 7.3.** *The assignments*

$$\mathbf{U} \mapsto \{F \in \mathrm{fp}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab}) \mid \mathrm{Hom}(F, X) = 0 \text{ for all } X \in \mathbf{U}\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{T} \mapsto \{X \in \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab}) \mid \mathrm{Hom}(F, X) = 0 \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{T}\}$$

*induce mutually inverse bijections between the set of closed subsets of  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab})$  and the set of Serre subcategories of  $\mathrm{fp}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab})$ .*

*Proof.* See Theorem 4.2 in [15].  $\square$

Given an object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ , we consider the *annihilator*

$$\mathrm{Ann} X = \{\phi \in \mathcal{F} \mid \mathrm{Hom}(\phi, X) = 0\}.$$

Clearly,  $\mathrm{Ann} X$  is a cohomological ideal in  $\mathcal{F}$ , and the converse is also true.

**Proposition 7.4.** *Every cohomological ideal in  $\mathcal{F}$  is of the form  $\mathrm{Ann} X$  for some pure-injective object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ .*

*Proof.* We fix a cohomological ideal  $\mathfrak{J}$ . By definition, there exists an exact functor  $F: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$  such that  $\mathfrak{J} = \{\phi \in \mathcal{F} \mid F(\phi) = 0\}$ . The functor  $- \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} F: (\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$  is exact by Lemma 1.5, and we obtain therefore a Serre subcategory of  $\mathrm{fp}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab})$  by taking

$$\mathcal{T} = \{G \in \mathrm{fp}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab}) \mid G \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} F = 0\}.$$

Now let  $I$  be the product of all  $Y \in \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab})$  such that  $\mathrm{Hom}(G, Y) = 0$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{T}$ . The correspondence in Proposition 7.3 implies

$$\mathcal{T} = \{G \in \mathrm{fp}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab}) \mid \mathrm{Hom}(G, I) = 0\},$$

and we find  $X \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $H_X \cong I$  by Lemma 1.3. Now let  $\phi$  be an arbitrary map in  $\mathcal{F}$  and put  $G = \mathrm{Im} H_\phi$ . We get

$$F(\phi) = 0 \Leftrightarrow G \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} F = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(G, H_X) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\phi, X) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi \in \mathrm{Ann} X.$$

Thus  $\mathfrak{J}$  is of the form  $\mathrm{Ann} X$ .  $\square$

*Proof of the Fundamental Correspondence.* The assignment  $X \mapsto H_X$  identifies the pure-injective objects in  $\mathcal{S}$  with the injective objects in  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  (cf. [17, Corollary 1.9]) and induces therefore a bijection  $\text{Sp } \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  which identifies the Ziegler closed subsets of  $\text{Sp } \mathcal{S}$  with the closed subsets of  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  by Lemma 7.2. We conclude from Proposition 7.3 that

$$\mathbf{U} \mapsto \{F \in \text{Coh } \mathcal{S} \mid F(X) = 0 \text{ for all } X \in \mathbf{U}\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{T} \mapsto \{X \in \text{Sp } \mathcal{S} \mid F(X) = 0 \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{T}\}$$

induce mutually inverse bijections between Ziegler-closed subsets of  $\text{Sp } \mathcal{S}$  and Serre subcategories of  $\text{Coh } \mathcal{S}$ . It is an immediate consequence that

$$\mathcal{C} \mapsto \{F \in \text{Coh } \mathcal{S} \mid F(X) = 0 \text{ for all } X \in \mathcal{C}\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{T} \mapsto \{X \in \mathcal{S} \mid F(X) = 0 \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{T}\}$$

induce mutually inverse bijections between definable subcategories of  $\mathcal{S}$  and Serre subcategories of  $\text{Coh } \mathcal{S}$ . In other words: a definable subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$  is already determined by  $\mathcal{C} \cap \text{Sp } \mathcal{S}$ . In fact, each definable subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$  can be reconstructed explicitly from the corresponding Ziegler-closed subset  $\mathbf{U} = \mathcal{C} \cap \text{Sp } \mathcal{S}$  since

$$\mathcal{C} = \{X \in \mathcal{S} \mid \text{there are } Y_i \in \mathbf{U} \text{ and a pure triangle } X \rightarrow \prod_i Y_i \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma X\}.$$

This follows from Proposition 3.2 in [15].

Next we consider the cohomological ideals. Observe that a functor  $F: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  is coherent precisely if  $F = \text{Im Hom}(\phi, -)$  for some map  $\phi: C \rightarrow D$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Clearly,  $F(X) = 0$  for some  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  if and only if  $\phi \in \text{Ann } X$ . Using the correspondence between definable subcategories and Serre subcategories of coherent functors, it follows that

$$\mathcal{C} \mapsto \bigcap_{X \in \mathcal{C}} \text{Ann } X$$

induces an injective map from the set of definable subcategories of  $\mathcal{S}$  into the set of cohomological ideals in  $\mathcal{F}$ . It remains to show that this map is surjective. To this end fix a cohomological ideal  $\mathfrak{J}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . We have  $\mathfrak{J} = \text{Ann } Y$  for some  $Y \in \mathcal{S}$  by Proposition 7.4. Thus  $\mathcal{C} = \{X \in \mathcal{S} \mid \mathfrak{J} \subseteq \text{Ann } X\}$  is a definable subcategory satisfying

$$\mathfrak{J} = \bigcap_{X \in \mathcal{C}} \text{Ann } X.$$

This completes the proof of the correspondence between definable subcategories, Ziegler closed subsets, Serre subcategories and cohomological ideals.  $\square$

Given a class  $\mathcal{C}$  of objects in  $\mathcal{S}$ , the definable subcategory generated by  $\mathcal{C}$  is

$$\text{Def } \mathcal{C} = \{X \in \mathcal{S} \mid F \in \text{Coh } \mathcal{C} \text{ and } F(Y) = 0 \text{ for all } Y \in \mathcal{C} \text{ implies } F(X) = 0\}.$$

It remains to prove the following description of  $\text{Def } \mathcal{C}$  via reduced products which is formulated in Theorem C.

**Theorem 7.5.** *Suppose that Brown representability holds for  $\mathcal{S}$ , and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of objects in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then an object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  belongs to  $\text{Def } \mathcal{C}$  if and only if there is a pure triangle  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma X$  such that  $Y = \prod_{i \in I} X_i / \mathcal{U}$  for some family  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  of objects in  $\mathcal{C}$  and some filter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $I$ .*

*Proof.* We fix a class  $\mathcal{C}$  of objects in  $\mathcal{S}$  and put

$$\mathcal{T} = \{F \in \text{Coh } \mathcal{S} \mid F(X) = 0 \text{ for all } X \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

We use again the functor category  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$ . Recall that  $F \in (\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  is *fp-injective* if  $\text{Ext}^1(G, F) = 0$  for all  $G \in \text{fp}(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$ . A functor  $F: \mathcal{F}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  is fp-injective if and only if it is exact (cf. Lemma 2.7 in [17]) and therefore the restricted Yoneda functor

$$\mathcal{S} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab}), \quad X \mapsto H_X = \text{Hom}(-, X)|_{\mathcal{F}},$$

identifies the objects in  $\mathcal{S}$  with the fp-injective objects in  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  since we assume Brown representability. Now let  $\mathcal{C}' = \{H_X \mid X \in \mathcal{C}\}$  and put

$$\mathcal{T}' = \{F \in \text{fp}(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab}) \mid \text{Hom}(F, X) = 0 \text{ for all } X \in \mathcal{C}'\}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{T} = \{F^\vee \mid F \in \mathcal{T}'\}$  since  $F^\vee(X) = \text{Hom}(F, H_X)$  for  $X \in \mathcal{S}$ . A reduced product of a family  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  of objects in  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  with respect to some filter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $I$  is by definition the filtered colimit  $\text{colim}_{J \in \mathcal{U}} \prod_{i \in J} X_i$  so that the restricted Yoneda functor preserves reduced products. It follows from Proposition 4.5 in [16] that an fp-injective object  $X$  in  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  is a subobject of some reduced product of objects in  $\mathcal{C}'$  if and only if  $\text{Hom}(F, X) = 0$  for all  $F \in \mathcal{T}'$ . Using again the restricted Yoneda functor, it follows that  $X \in \mathcal{S}$  fits into a triangle  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma X$  such that  $Y$  is a reduced product of objects in  $\mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $F(X) = 0$  for all  $F \in \mathcal{T}$ . This completes the proof since  $\text{Def } \mathcal{C} = \{X \in \mathcal{S} \mid F(X) = 0 \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{T}\}$ .  $\square$

#### APPENDIX: FINITELY PRESENTED MODULES VERSUS COMPACT OBJECTS

In this appendix we explain the analogy between compact objects in a compactly generated triangulated category, and finitely presented modules in the category of modules over an associative ring.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an additive category and suppose that it has arbitrary products and coproducts. We make the following definitions:

- An object  $Q$  is *p-injective* if for every set  $I$  the summation map  $\coprod_I Q \rightarrow Q$  factors through the canonical map  $\coprod_I Q \rightarrow \prod_I Q$ .
- A sequence of maps  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$  is *p-exact* if for every p-injective object  $Q$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  the sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Z, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Y, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X, Q) \rightarrow 0$  is exact.
- An object  $P$  is *p-projective* if for every p-exact sequence  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$  the sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P, Z) \rightarrow 0$  is exact.
- An object  $X$  is *compact* if the functor  $\text{Hom}(X, -)$  preserves coproducts.

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is the category  $\text{Mod } \Lambda$  of modules over an associative ring  $\Lambda$ , then the above concept of p-exactness coincides with the concept of pure-exactness introduced by Cohn [7]. This follows essentially from the characterization of pure-injective modules via the summation map which is due to Jensen and Lenzing (cf. [14, Proposition 7.32]). In this context the compact p-projective objects are characterized as follows.

**Proposition.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the category of modules over an associative ring. Then an object in  $\mathcal{A}$  is compact and p-projective if and only if it is a finitely presented module.*

*Proof.* The assertion is an immediate consequence of the well-known fact that a module is pure-projective if and only if it is a direct factor of a coproduct of finitely presented modules.  $\square$

Now suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a compactly generated triangulated category and denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the full subcategory of compact objects. Then the p-injective objects are precisely the objects which are pure-injective in the sense of Definition 1.1 (cf. [17, Theorem 1.8]).

Therefore the functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$ ,  $X \mapsto H_X$ , identifies the p-injective objects in  $\mathcal{A}$  with the injective objects in  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  (cf. [17, Corollary 1.9]). Note that  $\text{Hom}(X, Q) \cong \text{Hom}(H_X, H_Q)$  for all  $X$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  and every p-injective object  $Q$  by Lemma 1.3. Therefore  $X \mapsto H_X$  identifies the p-exact sequences  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$  with the exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow H_X \rightarrow H_Y \rightarrow H_Z \rightarrow 0$  since the injective objects cogenerate  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$ .

**Proposition.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a compactly generated triangulated category. Then an object in  $\mathcal{A}$  is compact and p-projective if and only if it is compact.*

*Proof.* We need to show that every compact object is p-projective. However, this is just a reformulation of the fact that for each p-exact sequence  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$  the sequence  $0 \rightarrow H_X \rightarrow H_Y \rightarrow H_Z \rightarrow 0$  is exact.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] J.F. ADAMS, A variant of E.H. Brown's representability theorem, *Topology* **10** (1971), 185–198.
- [2] M. AUSLANDER, Coherent functors, in: *Proceedings of the conference on categorical algebra*, La Jolla (1966) 189–231.
- [3] M. AUSLANDER, Functors determined by objects, in: *Representation theory of algebras*. Proc. conf. Philadelphia 1976, ed. R. Gordon, Dekker, New York (1978), 1–244.
- [4] A. BELIGIANNIS, Relative homological algebra and purity in triangulated categories, *J. Algebra* **227** (2000), 268–361.
- [5] J.D. CHRISTENSEN, B. KELLER, AND A. NEEMAN, Failure of Brown representability in derived categories, *Topology*, to appear.
- [6] J.D. CHRISTENSEN AND N.P. STRICKLAND, Phantom maps and homology theories, *Topology* **37** (1998), 339–364.
- [7] P.M. COHN, On the free product of associative rings, *Math. Z.* **71** (1959), 380–398.
- [8] W.W. CRAWLEY-BOEVEY, Infinite dimensional modules in the representation theory of finite dimensional algebras, in: *Algebras and modules, I* (Trondheim, 1996), CMS Conf. Proc. **23** (1998), 29–54.
- [9] P. FREYD, Stable homotopy, in: *Proceedings of the conference on categorical algebra*, La Jolla (1966), 121–172.
- [10] P. FREYD, Stable homotopy II, *Proc. Symp. Pure. Math.* XVII (1970), 161–183.
- [11] P. GABRIEL, Des catégories abéliennes, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **90** (1962), 323–448.
- [12] I. HERZOG, The Ziegler spectrum of a locally coherent Grothendieck category, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **74** (1997), 503–558.
- [13] M. HOVEY, J.H. PALMIERI, AND N.P. STRICKLAND, Axiomatic stable homotopy theory, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (1997).
- [14] C.U. JENSEN AND H. LENZING, *Model theoretic algebra*, Gordon and Breach, New York (1989).
- [15] H. KRAUSE, The spectrum of a locally coherent category, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **114** (1997), 259–271.
- [16] H. KRAUSE, Exactly definable categories, *J. Algebra* **201** (1998), 456–492.
- [17] H. KRAUSE, Smashing subcategories and the telescope conjecture - an algebraic approach, *Invent. Math.* **139** (2000), 99–133.
- [18] H. KRAUSE AND U. REICHENBACH, Endofiniteness in stable homotopy theory, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353** (2001), 157–173.
- [19] H.R. MARGOLIS, *Spectra and the Steenrod algebra*, North-Holland (1983).
- [20] B. MITCHELL, Rings with several objects, *Advances in Math.* **8** (1972), 1–161.
- [21] O. OBERST AND H. ROHRL, Flat and coherent functors, *J. Algebra* **14** (1970), 91–105.
- [22] B. STENSTRØM, *Rings of quotients*, Springer-Verlag (1975).
- [23] M. ZIEGLER, Model theory of modules, *Ann. of Pure and Appl. Logic* **26** (1984), 149–213.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD, 33501 BIELEFELD, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* `henning@mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de`